Strategy

Authority name:	Adoption date of	Adopted tariff for SANG (£)*	Adopted tariff for Access	Planned adoption date of	Any other
	current strategy (if relevant)		Management /monitoring (£)*	any new strategy/strategy	comments
	······			review	
			1 bedroom = £399	None	
			$2 \text{ bedroom} = \text{\pounds}526$		
			$3 \text{ bedroom} = \pounds711$		
			4 bedroom = $\pounds 807$		
	As of 14 July 2012	£1279 per dwelling	5 + bedrooms = £1,052		
			2 bedroom = £399	None	
		bedroom = $\pounds1770$	2 bedroom = 2500 2 bedroom = £526	None	
		$3 \text{ bedroom} = \pounds2400$	$3 \text{ bedroom} = \pounds711$		
		$4 \text{ bedroom} = \pounds 2730$	$4 \text{ bedroom} = \pounds 807$		
	As of 29 March 2012	5 + bedrooms = £3550	5 + bedrooms = £1,052		
	As of 28 March 2012				
		Per dwelling tariff = £824 - £4,691 Community Infrastructure Levy Charge =	£514 - £1,462		
	in Developer Contributions SPD.	£125 per sqm			
	SANG now collected through	£125 per sqiff			
	Community Infrastructure Levy				
	(Charging Schedule forms				
	Addendum to SPD) adopted April				
	2013, with money being allocated				
Elmbridge Borough	from this on a per dwelling tariff				
Council	basis.			N/A	None
Council		1 bed - 3,432.18	1 bed - 556.72	IN/A	NUTE
			2 bed - 706.19		The current
		,			
		3 bed - 4,535.51	3 bed - 878.22		strategy is
		4+ bed - 5,087.20	4+ bed - 1,033.08		dated 2009-
					2014.
					However, we
					are delaying
					the review in
					order to more
					closely align it
					with the Local
					Plan process.
					At the present
					time, we
					consider the
					strategy up to
					date as the
Guildford Borough					situation has
Council.	2009			Spring 2015	not changed.
	Monitoring figures up to end J				
		Hitches Lane: £3,858 (1 bed), £7,183 (2			
		3 bed), £10,388 (4+ bed).	£921 (4+ bed)	No review currently	
	Nov 10 (Annex on contributions	Hawley Meadows: £3,380 (1 bed),		planned. Current strategy	Already
Hart	amended Jan 2011)	£6,292 (2-3 bed), £9,100 (4+ bed).		already includes SAMM	collecting
		1 bed / bedsit £7,061	1 bed / bedsit £417		
			2 bed £551		
			3 bed £744		
Royal Borough of		4 bed £9,025	4 bed £846		
Windsor and Maidenhead	.lan-13	5+ bed £10,171	5+ bed £1,102	Review scheduled each Oct	n/a
Windson and Maldermead	ban to	2000			Π/α
		2000	630		These figures
					do not include
					DERA site
					which is
					expected to be
	01/04/2007 (SANGS) and 1 May				about 2,000
Runnymede	2010 (SAMM)		<u> </u>	Not known	dwellings
Rushmoor Borough	30/11/2010 and amended	Based on £2,600 per person - £3640 to	£399 to £1052 per property		-
Council	21/2/2012	£9620 per property based		n/a	None
				· - •	

ANNEX 3

Surrey Heath Borough Council	Original July 2008 amended January 2012	Chobham Place Woods £2,870 per dwelling (now closed) . Hawley Meadows 1 bedroom = $\pounds3640$ 2 bedroom = $\pounds4810$ 3 bedroom = $\pounds6,500$ 4 bedroom = $\pounds7410$ 5 bedroom = $\pounds9620$. Swan Lakes 1 bedroom = $\pounds3640$ 2 bedroom = $\pounds4810$ 3 bedroom = $\pounds6,500$ 4 bedroom = $\pounds7410$ 5 bedroom = $\pounds9620$	263 per person (1 bed: £368/ 2 bed: £487/3 bed: £658/4 bed:£750/5 bed:£973)	No review planned although CIL will be in place from 1st December 2014	None
Waverley Borough Council	15th Dec 2009		One bedroom = £345 Two bedroom = £463 Three bedroom = £660 Four bedroom = £752 Five+ bedroom = £981	n/a	
Woking BC	01/09/10	Studio £504 1 bed £548 2 bed £739 3 bed £974	Studio £463 1 bed £463 2 bed £627 3 bed £825 4 bed and greater £958	Tbc	Tariff TBC (tariff is index linked) CIL implemented 01/04/2015. SANG will be top sliced from CIL income
Wokingham BC	04-May-10			n/a	There are further tariffs for schemes between 5 and 7km from SPA.

SANGS Information June 2015

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SANGS Information Jun	C 2010							
	SANG identif	ied			SANG a	SANG Illocated			
Authority name Bracknell	Name of SANG site	Total discounted SANG area (ha) 17.12	Total SANG capacity (dw) 926	Dwellings allocated to SANG to date* (dw) 822	Amount of SANG allocated (ha)	Remaining unallocated SANG area (ha)	Remaining unallocated capacity (dw)	Is this new open space or existing open space with improved access? Existing	Notes
Forest	Shepherds Meadows	29.46	926 1594	706	13.07	16.39	887	Existing	
Council	Englemere Pond	27.06	1464	1161	21.46			Existing	
	Horseshoe Lake	8.64	468	262	4.84	3.8		Existing	
	Long Hill Park Goup	12.53	678	902	12.53	0		Existing	
	Ambarrow Court / Ambarrow Hill Part of Great Hollands Recreation	12.21	661	339	6.26	5.95	322	Existing	Shepherds Meadow includes capacity for Surrey Heath which
	Ground	3.9	211	0	0	3.9		Existing	reserved and discounted for future use.
	Popes Meadow	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	Existing	New SANG Nov 12
Elmbridge	Total	110.92	6002	4192	73.36	37.56	1809		The dwellings allocated to the Longhil Group which have resulted in a negative capacity are provisional (i.e. sites without planning applications but allocated in the Site Allocations Local Plan (SALP) July 2013). In practise, SANG contributions will be spent from these developments up and until the capacity is met and the residual dwellings (224) will be allocated capacity at Great Hollands/Popes Meadow SANGs when available.
Borough									
Council									Includes all permitted, paid or invoice raised. Calculations based on 8ha per 1000. Number of units in overlapping area
	Brooklands Community Park	22	1104	92	1.8	20.2	1012	New	divided equally between two sites
	Esher Common	19.6	1000	121	2.3	17.3	879	Existing	
	[insert name]								
	[insert name] Total	41.6	2104	213	4.1	37.5	1891		
Guildford	Total	41.0	2104	213	4.1	57.5	1091		
Borough									
Council	Riverside Effingham	15 34	238 2211	767 62	<u>11.45596</u> 1.02256	3.54404 32.97744	182 1716	Existing	No Car Park
	Lakeside	4	0	235.06	3.993955	0.006045	0	Existing	
	Chantry Parsonage Watermeadows	38	2083 469	397 259	6.57602 7.7	31.42398	1635 87	Existing Existing	Extension to Riverside
	raisonage watermeadows								Extension to hiverside
	Total	100	5001	1720.06	30.748495	69.251505	3620		
Hart District Council	Hitches Lane (Fleet)	26.78	1395	1280	24.58	2.2	115		13/02513/MAJOR adds 4.84ha of SANG and removes 2.06ha
	Hawley Meadows (Hawley)	9.1	475	73	1.39	1.54	80		These figures relate to Hart's share of this SANG which is used jointly which Rushmoor and Surrey Heath. 322 dwellings of capacity have been transferred to Rushmoor and Surrey Heath (386 persons or 161 dwellings each) agreed at Cabinet - 17th July 2014, leaving 88 dwellings capacity for Hart to use.
	Bassetts Mead (Hook)	8.9	464	127	2.44	6.46	337		Hook Parish Council SANG - they have say over whether a developer can access the SANG capacity for mitigation.
	Clarks Farm / Swan Lakes (Yateley)	4.44	231	104	2	0	0		Yateley Town Council SANG - remaining capacity
			2254		07.00	07.00	1000		given to Surrey Heath At 12ha / 1000 population given proximity of SANG
	QEB Crookham Park (Fleet)	64.92	2234		27.83	37.09	1288		and development to SPA SANG capacity used up by Dilly Lane housing
	QEII Fields Dilly Lane (Hartley Wintney) Total	5.96 120.1		1584	3.24 61.48				developments - not in Hart Avoidance Strategy
Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhe									
ad	Allen's Field	9.5	462	220	4.52			Improved	
Runnyme	Total	9.5	462	220	4.52	4.98	242		
de	The SANGS/SAMM monies collected by	Runnymede o	does not get al	located to a pa	irticular SANG	S site within th	e Borough (it	goes towards them all joint	tly)
	Total								
Rushmoor Borough	Southwood	32.53	464	371			93	Existing with improved access	
Borougn Council								Existing with improved	Rushmoor share of the capacity increased from 475 to 636
	Hawley Meadows	9.16	636	549			87	access Existing with improved	from July 2014
	Rowhill	24.3	380	300			80	access	From Feb 2012
								Mix of new and existing with	
	Aldershot Urban Extension/Wellesley Total	65.99	3,850 5330	3,850 5070	0	0	260	improved access	Note For Rushmoor "Allocated" includes "promises"
	, otai	55.55			0		-50		
Surrey	Diamond Ridge Woods	?	365	365		0	0		
Heath	Notcutts	?	182	182	?	0	0	New open space	Bespoke private SANG serving Notcutts development only
		? ? ?			?		0	New open space	Bespoke private SANG serving Notcutts development only Bespoke SANG serving Clewborough development only

SANGS

									1
									Joint project with Hart and Rushmoor, capacity is assigned by
	Hawley Meadows and Blackwater								number of people, divided by 2.5 to give approx number of
	Park	12.2	610	588	11.7	0.5	22	improved access	dwellings
								existing open space with	
	Swan Lakes	1.94	80	80	1.94	0	0	improved access	10ha/1000 people standard
								existing open space with	SANG expected to be operational Autumn 2015. Does no
	Station Road, Chobham	19.2	960	102	0.8	18.4		improved access	deliver large (10+) sites in the west of the Borough
	Total	38.73	1930	1050	19.83	18.9	879		
								Evision and a second with	
Waverley		21.25	1104	922	17.7	3.5	182	Existing open space with improved access	
Borough	Farnham Park							improved access	
Council	Total	21.25	1104	922	17.7	3.5	182		
Woking	Horsell Common	28	1451	1289.14	24.9	3.1	162		
	While Rose Lane	8.2	425	392.38	7.6	0.6	32		
	Brookwood County Park	20	1036	570.48	11.0	9.0	466		
Counter	Martins Press	13	674	88	1.7	11.3	586		
	Heather Farm	14.5	751	00	0.0	14.5	751		
	Heather Farm (Additional 10.13 ha.	14.5	731	0	0.0	14.5	731		
	capacity 519)								
									Figures exclude units as part of Victoria Square (392) and
									new fire station (27). Likely to be allocated to Heather Farm
									(these proposals are still subject to legal agreement
	Total	84	4336.78756	2340	45.162	38.538	1996.78756		therefore have not been picked up in the monitoring system)
Wokingha	Extension to Keephatch Woods,	-						New open space (as an	
m	Binfield Road, Wokingham							extension to existing area)	Associated with application F/2007/2517. Site transferred to
Borough	Binnelu Hoau, Wokingham	3.19	166	150	3.19	0.00	0		WBC
Council	Rooks Nest Woods, Barkham Ride,							New open space	
Council	Barkham								Site opened March 2011. Site can also avoid impact of large
	Darkham								schemes (50+ dwellings) between 5 and 7km of SPA and this
									is included in dwellings allocated. Part of capacity reserved
									for sites in Managing Development Delivery Local Plan (Feb
		18.3	963	578	6.45	11.85	617		2014).
1	Kentwood Meadows, Warren House	10.5	505	5/0	0.40	11.05	517	New open space	Associated with application O/2011/0699. Lies within N
1	Rd, Wokingham								Wokingham SDL and solely serves schemes 5-7km from
	nu, wuxiligilalli	2.7	140	510	2.70	0.00	0		SPA
	Buckhurst Meadows, London Road,							New open space	Associated with application O/2010/1712. Lies within S
	Wokingham	12.48	650	650	12.48	0.00	0		Wokingham SDL
	Langley Mead (Loddon), Hyde End	+0	500	500	.2.40	0.00	0	New open space	Associated with development in S of M4 SDL + The Manor,
	Road, Shinfield	18.31	953	1.097	18.31	0.00	0		Shinfield. Approved under F/2010/1434
	Clares Green Field, Croft Road,	10.31	303	1,097	10.31	0.00	0	Existing open space	
	Spencers Wood	5.36	279	147	1.98	3.38	176		Adjoins Five Acre SANG - for delivery as part of S of M4 SDL
	Total		3151.0		45.1	15.2	793.0		· -j

SANGS

Authority name: Date:	Bracknell Forest Co Figures end June 20	
	Number	Value (£)
Total no of dwellings permitted subject to SAMM contribution		

Total commencements		
making SAMM contribution		
(start date 14/07/11)	539	312718

Authority name: Date: Elmbridge Borough Council Figures end of June 2015

	Number	Value (£)	٦				
			Í				
Total no of dwellings							
permitted							
subject to SAMM contribution			ľ				
(start date 01/01/10)	79	94,745	,				
Total commencements							
making SAMM contribution			1				
(start date 01/01/10)*	46	49,614		*this is based on	*this is based on reported data and r	*this is based on reported data and may not reflect m	*this is based on reported data and may not reflect money recorded by H

Authority name: Date: Guildford Borough Council Figures end of June 2015

	Number	Value (£)
Total no of dwellings permitted subject to SAMM contribution (start date 01/01/10)	1051.06	£ 799,284.82
Total commencements making SAMM contribution (start date 01/01/10)	482.06	£ 371,710.60

Authority name: Date: Hart District Council Monitoring figures up to end June 2015

	Number	Value (£)	
Total no of dwellings permitted subject to SAMM contribution (start date			
01/01/10)	2251	£934,294.73	
Total commencements			monies
making SAMM contribution			transferred to
(start date 01/01/10)	664	£512,262.17	HCC

Authority name: Date: **Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead** Figures end of June 2015

SAMM Contributions

date of the agreement)
- ,
le. Note that the figure of 101
pletions. However, the
is due on commencement in

Authority name: Date:	Runnymede figures up to the endAug 15					
	Number	Value (£)				
Total no of dwellings permitted subject to SAMM contribution						
(start date 01/01/10)	419	263970	From 1 May 2010			
	Runnymede does					
	not collect on					
Total commencements	commencement					
making SAMM contribution	therefore above					

(start date 01/01/10) f	figure is correct	From 1 May 2010	

Authority name:	Rushmoor Borough	Council	
Date:	Figures end of June 2015		
	.		
	Number	Value (£)	Note 1: Outstanding and subject to unilateral planning obligation
Total no of dwellings			
permitted			
subject to SAMM contribution			
(start date 01/01/10) (see note			
1)	1055	225842	Note 2: Invoiced, or Paid (but not necessarily commenced)
Total commencements			
making SAMM contribution			
(start date 01/01/10) (See note			
2)	292	161817	current SAMM income received and committed approx £277,228.

Authority	name:
Date:	

Surrey Heath Borough Council Figures end of June 2015

	Number	Value (£)
Total no of dwellings permitted		
subject to SAMM contribution		
(from 01/04/2008 to 30/09/2015)	1309	£691,805.36
T . 4 . 1		
Total commencements		
making SAMM contribution (from		
01/04/2008 to 30/09/2015)* (note 1)	621	£373,746.83

SAMM Contributions

Authority name: Date: WAVERLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL Figures end June 2015

	Number	Value (£)
Total no of dwellings permitted		
subject to SAMM contribution (start date 01/01/10)	413	1,298,060.45
Total commencements making SAMM contribution		, ,
(start date 01/01/10)	237	644,004.25

Authority name: Date: WOKING BOROUGI COUNCIL Figures end of June 2015 figures up to June 2015

	Number	Value (£)
Total no of dwellings permitted		
subject to SAMM contribution (start date 01/01/10)	1219	833, 423
Total commencements making SAMM contribution		
(start date 01/01/10)	884	627,156

Authority name: Date: Wokingham BC

figures up to the end June 2015

	Number	Value (£)
Total no of dwellings		
permitted subject to SAMM		
contribution (inc schemes 5-		
7km which pay SAMM) (start		
date 01/01/10) (value based on		
assumed mix)	4,443	£2,158,251.91
Total commencements making SAMM contribution (inc schemes 5-7km which pay		
SAMM) (start date 01/01/10)	476	£414,621.95

SAMM Contributions

Authority	
name:	Bracknell Forest BC
	No appeals
Authority	
name:	Elmbridge BC
	No appeals
Authority	
name:	Guildford BC
	No appeals
Authority	
name:	Hart District Council
	Appeals

PINS Ref	Site Adress	Proposal	Decision	Summary of Inspectors Views
	Land at Watery Lane, Church Crookham, Fleet, Hampshire,	Outline planning application for up to 300 residential units, land for up to 1,050m2 D1 floorspace for a GP surgery including pharmacy and up to 370m2 A1 retail floorspace for a convenience foodstore and associated access, open space, playing pitches including a sports pavilion, MUGA and car park, landscaping, Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANG) including car park and improvements to the A287/Redlands Lane junction (means of access into the main site to be considered,		The Council challenged the adequacy of the proposed SANG - this was the main issue at the appeal. NE did not object to the SANG. The Inspector found that the SANG was
APP/N1730	GU52 0RE	all other matters reserved)	Permission refused, appeal allowed	adequate.

Authority name:

Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead Council No appeals

Authority

name: Runnymede BC No appeals

Authority

name: Rushmoor BC Appeals

PINS Ref	Site Adress	Proposal	Decision	Summary of Inspectors Views
				Amongst other issues, the Inspector was
				satisfied that a financial contribution is
				required for identified SANGS. As no such
				provision had been made, the proposal did
	65 North Lane,	Demolition of existing house and erection		not comply with policy CP13 (TBHSPA) of
APP/P1750	Aldershot	of four new 3 bedroom houses.		the adopted Core Strategy.

Authority Surrey Heath BC No appeals

Authority Waverley BC tbc

Authority

name: Woking BC No appeals

Authority

name: Wokingham BC Appeals

PINS Ref	Site Adress	Proposal	Decision	Summary of Inspectors Views re SPA avoidance measures
	Land at Kentwood Farm, Warrenhouse Road, Wokingham	Outline application for 274 dwellings and full application for SANG and 3.5m landform with 2.5m fence above alongside A329(M)	Allowed	Scheme provides 50+ dwellings between 5 and 7km from SPA. Therefore since proposal included SANG and Strategic Monitoring contributions at an appropriate rate through a legal agreement, application was acceptable on SPA grounds. Appeal also covered other non-SPA issues.
APP/X036 0/A/12/21 74031	182-186 Finchampstead Road, Wokingham	Construction of 4 five bedroom houses.	Dismissed	Appellant offered unilateral which provides contributions towards addressing SPA impacts which Inspector considered acceptable. Appeal dismissed for other grounds.
	New Mill Restaurant, New Mill Lane, Eversley, Hook	Erection of 2 dwellings	Dismissed	Site is within 400m of SPA. Appellant contended developing two dwellings would have same impact upon SPA as implementing permitted hotel annexe. View rejected by Inspector as hotel guests unlikely to bring dogs and cats with them. If they did, they would not be be allowed to roam therefore haivng a reduced impact. Inspector concluded the extant permission for the hotel annexe was unlikely to be fully implemented and this influenced consideration of impacts upon SPA of the earlier approval. Appellant did not offer a unilateral agreement to fund delivery of avaoidance measures. Therefore appeal dismissed for impacts upon SPA and other matters.
	-	Demolish existing dwelling. Erect 2 dwellings,	Dismissed	Appellant offered a signed S106 which provides contributions towards addressing SPA impacts which Inspector considered acceptable. Appeal dismissed for other grounds.
0/A/11/21	Hyde End Road and	Outline application for a residential development of up to 1,200 dwellings, a further 150 units of specialist housing (including sheltered housing) for elderly persons, a local centre to include a foodstore (2,500 sqm), and other retail and leisure uses, a community building, proposed extension of existing primary schools, erection of a new primary school, public open space, sports pavillion, suitable alternative natural greenspace (SANG) and access and landscaping.		Whilst appellant proposed a bespoke solution of SANG which exceeded minimum standards, Secretary of State concluded that proposal should also contribute towards the SAMM project. As appellant accepted this, proposal was allowed due to range of factors.

0/A/11/21 51402	Hyde End Rd, Shinfield	Application for change of use of land from agricultural to Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANG) (Sui Generis use) and associated development to include pedestrian and vehicular access, car park, footpaths and landscaping.		Whilst appellant proposed a bespoke solution of SANG which exceeded minimum standards, Secretary of State concluded that proposal should also contribute towards the SAMM project. As appellant accepted this, proposal was allowed due to range of factors.
	85-87 Ellis Road, Crowthorne	Demolition of existing bungalow and construction of 3 no. 5 bedroom detached houses	Dismissed	Whilst appellant refers to S106 with contributions towards SPA avoidance measures, this was not supplied. Therefore proposal did not address impact upon SPA. Appeal dismissed for this and other reasons.
0/A/12/21	Land south of 3 Pinewood Avenue, Crowthorne	Erection of 2 bed bungalow	Allowed	Requirement for contribution towards SPA avoidance measures was not covered by Officer Report or Decision Notice. Whilst referred to with appendix of Council appeal statement, Inspector did not consider contribution was reasonable or justified.
0/A/12/21	Shinfield and bordered by	Residential development comprising up to 126 dwellings, a sports pavilion, public open space, landscaping and associated works	Allowed	Submitted Unilateral includes a mechanism for contributing towards the Loddon and Ridge SANGS approved in appeals 2151409 (land west of Shinfield) and 2151402 (land east of Hyde End Rd). As the former appeals agreed that SAMM contributionwas necessary, this application should also contribute towards SAMM.
0/A/12/21 83100	Land to the rear of The Old Bakery, Basingstoke Road, Spencers Wood	Erection of 3 bedroom house	Dismissed	No agreement to provide contributions towards SPA avoidance measures was submitted. Appeal dismissed for this and other reasons.
APP/X036 0/A/12/21 84090	Land to the rear of 20 Anderson Crescent, Arborfield Cross, Berkshire RG2 9PB	The development proposed is the erection of a new 3 bed detached house on land to the rear of 20 Anderson Crescent, Arborfield Cross.	Dismissed	Although officer report recognised need for application to contribute towards SPA avaoidance, this was not covered by the refusal reasons. Inspector therefore disagreed with appellant that SPA was therefore necessary and should be covered in unilateral. Inspector dismissed appeal for other reasons.
0/A/12/21	45 Kiln Ride, Finchampstead, Wokingham, RG40 3PJ	Erection of 2 No. dwellings with parking, access	Dismissed	Inspector accepted scheme was likely to generate cumulative impacts upon the SPA. Since no unilateral detailing contributions to addressing impact had been submitted, this indicated proposal was likely to have impact upon the SPA. Appeal dismissed for this and other reasons.
0/A/12/21	Berkshire, RG45 6AX	The development proposed is construction of 2 detached dwelling houses with garages, utilising vehicular access to Ravenswood Avenue previously permitted by Bracknell Forest Borough Council.	Allowed	Requirement for contribution towards SPA avoidance measures covered by Officer Report and application refused for a number of grounds (including lack of contributions towards infrastrucutre). Unilateral submitted which addressed Council's concerns regarding lack of contributions towards infrastrcture (inc SPA). Appeal allowed for this and other reasons.

		T I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
0/A/12/21 85372	Spencers Wood, Reading RG7 1DP	The development proposed is the demolition of an existing dwelling and the erection of five dwellings with associated works.	Dismissed	Requirement for contribution towards SPA avoidance measures covered by Officer Report and application refused for a number of grounds (including lack of contributions towards infrastrucutre). Unilateral submitted which addressed Council's concerns regarding lack of contributions towards infrastrcture (inc SPA). Nevertheless other factors indicated appeal should be rejected.
0/A/12/21 87901	Rances Lane, Wokingham,	Formation of new access road and the erection of three detached, five bedroom houses, two detailed garage buildings and associated hard and soft landscaping.	Dismissed	Requirement for contribution towards SPA avoidance measures covered by Officer Report and application refused for a number of grounds (including lack of contributions towards infrastrucutre). Unilateral submitted which addressed Council's concerns regarding lack of contributions towards infrastrcture (inc SPA). Appeal dismissed for other reasons.
0/A/12/21 89271		Erection of an agricultural workers dwelling and garage	Allowed	Requirement for contribution towards SPA avoidance measures covered by Officer Report and application refused for a number of grounds (including lack of contributions towards infrastrucutre). S106 signed which addressed issues associated with lack of contributions. Appeal allowed for this and other reasons.
0/A/13/21		Demolition of existing garage and erection of a 2-bedroom chalet style bungalow.	Dismissed	Inspector accepted scheme was likely to generate cumulative impacts upon the SPA. Since no unilateral detailing contributions to addressing impact had been submitted, this indicated proposal was likely to have impact upon the SPA. Appeal dismissed for this and other reasons.
<mark>92106</mark>	Finchampstead Road, Wokingham, Berkshire RG40 3EY	Demolition of the existing house at No 184 and the erection of a replacement dwelling and the erection of 2 new dwellings on land at the rear of Nos 182 and 184 Finchampstead Road, new garages and the extension of the access/driveway rearwards	Dismissed	Requirement for contribution towards SPA avoidance measures covered by Officer Report and application refused for a number of grounds (including lack of contributions towards infrastrucutre). Unilateral submitted which addressed Council's concerns regarding lack of contributions towards infrastrcture (inc SPA). Appeal dismissed for other reasons.
0/A/13/21 96507	Highway,	Erection of 12 no. apartments with associated parking, amenity space and landscaping plus demolition of existing dwelling.	Dismissed	Inspector accepted scheme was likely to generate cumulative impacts upon the SPA. Since no unilateral detailing contributions to addressing impact had been submitted, this indicated proposal was likely to have impact upon the SPA. Appeal dismissed for this and other reasons.
0/A/13/21 96553		Residential developmentof detached dwellings	Dismissed	No dispute that scheme would harm SPA, however no mitigating measures proposed and therefore appeal dismissed on this and other grounds.

0/A/13/22 03660	Ride, Wokingham, Berkshire, RG40 3LU	Construction of detached 4 bed house.	Dismissed	Council has justified reasons for seeking contributing to addressing impacts of proposal upon SPA. Whilst appellant had willingness to provide contributions there was no planning obligation supplied. Appeal dismissed on other grounds.
	Heath Ride,	Demolition of the existing outbuildings and the erection of 2 no. detached dwelling houses	Dismissed	Whilst appellant had supplied a unilateral agreement, it did not define who the owner was. Therefore Inspector concluded agreement would not be enforecable. Whilst appellant had suggested a condition requiring submission of a signed agreement prior to commencedment, this was not accepted by Inspector. Appeal dismissed for this and other reasons.
60/A/13/2 206880	255 and 257 Finchampstead Road, Finchampstead, RG40 3JT	Demolition of 255 & 257 Finchampstead Rd and erection of 6 dwellings	Dismissed	Unilateral undertaking submitted making provision for contributions towards SPA. Appeal dismissed on other grounds.
	Land on south side of Lower Sandhurst Road, Finchampstead, Berkshire, RG40 3TH	Use of land for 1 n. gypsy pitch	Allowed	Planning obligation providing for contributions towards SPA submitted. This was acceptable.
	Barkham Road, Wokingham, Berkshire RG41 4TJ	Change of use of field to residential use for a new sustainable dwelling	Dismissed	Unilateral undertaking submitted making provision for contributions towards SPA. Appeal dismissed on other grounds.
	6 The Village, Finchampstead, Berkshire, RG40 4JT	New dwelling to rear of 6 The Village	Dismissed	Unilateral undertaking submitted making provision for contributions towards SPA. Appeal dismissed on other grounds.
		Replacement of 2 permanent gypsy pitches with erection of 3 detached dwellings		Unilateral undertaking submitted making provision for contributions towards SPA. Inspector accepts level of contribution proposed. Appeal dismissed on other grounds.
		Change of use of land to caravan site for two gypsy families	Dismissed	Unilateral undertaking submitted making provision for contributions towards SPA. Inspector accepts level of contribution proposed. Appeal dismissed on other grounds.
		Erection of one dwelling	Dismissed	Unilateral undertaking submitted making provision for contributions towards SPA. Although Council did not consider undertaking was acceptable as no SANG avaialble for this site within S Wokingham Strategic Development Location, Inspector considered the SANG contribution could be banked. Appeal dismissed on other grounds.

ADD/V026	Land to west of Twin	Change of use of land to dual pitch gypsy		
	Oaks, Longwater	site		
0/7/13/22	Lane,	Sile		No agreement to provide contributions
01525	Finchampstead,			towards SPA avoidance measures
				submitted. Appeal dismissed for this and
	Wokingham, RG40		Diamiaaad	other reasons.
	4NX		Dismissed	other reasons.
APP/X036				
	Land to rear of 29-30			Although draft Ssection 106 to make
13704	Market Place,			contributions towards SPA supplied, it had
	Wokingham,			not been signed. Appeal dismissed for this
	Berkshire, RG40 1AP		Dismissed	and other reasons.
	Land at 276 Nine	Replacement of derelict house with new	Dismissed	Although appellany had submitted statement
	Mile Ride,	house		indicating would pay SPA contributions,
	Finchampstead,			Inspector did not consider robust unilateral in
	Wokingham, RG40			place. Appeal dismissed on this and other
	3NT			grounds.
APP/X036	Land adjacent to 33	Demolition of redundant garage and	Dismissed	Inpsector accepted Council view of need for
	Hinton Close,	construction of two bedroom bungalow		Section 106 Agreement to address impacts
	Crowthorne	g		of scheme upon SPA. No agreement had
				been supplied. Appeal dismissed for this and
				other reasons.
	New Mill House, New	Frection of dwelling	Allowed	Although proposal was within 400m of SPA,
	Mill Lane, Eversley,		Anowed	Inspector accepted proposal involved a
	Hook, RG27 0RB			
19204	$\Pi U U K, \Pi G Z I U \Pi D$			replacement dwelling and therefore no
	Land at Estudianda	Line of loved for registeration purposes for 4	Allowed	impact upon SPA
	Land at Fairlands,	Use of land for residential purposes for 4	Allowed	Inspector accepted scheme was likely to
	-	no. gypsy pitches		generate cumulative impacts upon the SPA.
95054	Hill Reading, RG7			As unilateral supplied which address impact
	1UH			upon SPA, impacts were addressed.
APP/X036	Pineridge Park	Use of land for stationing 22 no. mobile	Dismissed	Inspector accepted scheme was likely to
	Homes, Nine Mile	homes		generate cumulative impacts upon the SPA.
	Ride, Wokingham,			As unilateral supplied which address impact
14000	RG40 3ND			upon SPA, impacts were addressed. Was
				discussion of whether removal of mobile
				homes from site would have a beneficial
				effect upon SPA. Appeal dismissed for other
				reasons not related to submission of
				Agreement to fund SPA requirements
APP/X036	West Greylake, Part	Erection of detached dwelling	Dismissed	Appellant submitted a Unilateral which would
	Lane, Riseley,			fund SPA avoidance measures. Council
	Reading, RG7 1RU			considered this was ok. As Inspector
11700	nousing, nor mo			dismissed appeal for other reasons, did not
				consider unilateral.
APP/X036	Downshire Lodge,	Conversion of existing house to 3 self-	Dismissed	Appellant submitted a Unilateral which would
0/A/14/22		contained residential dwellings	Distribute	fund SPA avoidance measures. As Inspector
	Wokingham, RG40	oontaineu residentiai uweinings		dismissed appeal for other reasons, did not
	-			
	4PT Groat Oaka, Elast	Erection of detected dwelling	Diamingood	consider unilateral.
	Great Oaks, Fleet	Erection of detached dwelling	Dismissed	Appellant submitted a Unilateral which would
	Hill, Finchampstead,			fund SPA avoidance measures. As Inspector
18962	RG40 4LA			dismissed appeal for other reasons, did not
				consider unilateral.

APP/X036	45 Kiln Ride,	Erection of 2 dwellings following demolition	Dismissed	Inpsector acknowledged Council view of
0/A/14/22	Finchampstead,	of existing dwelling		need for Section 106 Agreement to address
11342	Wokingham, RG40			impacts of scheme upon SPA. No
	3PJ			agreement had been supplied. Appeal
				dismissed for other reasons and Inspector
				did not consider whether contributions to
				address impacts upon SPA were necessary.